An appropriate amendment to 39 CFR 111.3 to reflect these changes will be published if the proposal is adopted.

### Stanley F. Mires,

 ${\it Chief Counsel, Legislative.}$ 

[FR Doc. 99–33088 Filed 12–21–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 038-0193b; FRL-6510-8]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, South Coast Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern rule recissions from the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). This action will rescind these rules from the federally approved SIP.

The intended effect of this action is to update and clarify the State Implementation Plan in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the state's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by January 21, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Chief, Rulemaking Office (AIR–4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the rule recissions and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule recissions are also available for inspection at the following locations:

South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 E. Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765–4182 California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julie A. Rose, Rulemaking Office, [AIR-4], Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901, Telephone: (415) 744–1184.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns the rule recissions listed below from the South Coast Air Quality Management District and its predecessor agencies, submitted to EPA by the California Air Resources Board on the dates listed for each rule.

South Coast Air Quality Management District (AQMD)

Rule 107, Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds in Organic Materials, Recission Adopted: 3–9– 92

Submitted to EPA: 9–14–92 Rule 1231, Judicial Review, Recission Adopted:

Submitted to EPA: 7–25–79 Rule 1311, Power Plants, Recission Adopted: 6–28–90 Submitted to EPA: 1–28–92

Los Angeles County Air Pollution Control District (APCD)

Rule 51, Nuisance, Recission Adopted: 5–7–76 Submitted to EPA: 8–2–76

Orange County APCD

Rule 51, Nuisance
Rule 67.1, Fuel Burning Equipment
Rule 68, Fuel Burning Equipment—
Oxides of Nitrogen
Recissions Adopted: 5–7–76
Submitted to EPA: 8–2–76

Riverside County APCD

Rule 51, Nuisance, Recission Adopted: 5–7–76

Submitted to EPA: 8-2-76

For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action that is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: December 7, 1999.

## David P. Howekamp,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. 99–32643 Filed 12–21–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MO-074-1074b; FRL-6512-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Missouri

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the state of Missouri for the purpose of revising the Federally approved incinerator provisions of the Kansas City Air Pollution Control Code to ensure that they reflect the provisions currently enforced by the Kansas City Health Department in Chapter 8 of the Air Pollution Control Code. In the final rules section of the Federal Register, EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no relevant adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by January 21, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Wayne A. Kaiser, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wayne A. Kaiser at (913) 551–7603.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of the **Federal Register**.

Dated: December 2, 1999.

#### William A. Spratlin,

 $Acting \, Regional \, Administrator, \, Region \, VII. \\ [FR \, Doc. \, 99-32861 \, Filed \, 12-21-99; \, 8:45 \, am]$ 

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P